

Long-term clinical documentation on Astra Tech Implant System®

The long-term clinical documentation (i.e. ≥ 5 years) is one of the most important tools showing evidence that the Astra Tech Implant System is efficient, reliable and safe. The features of the Astra Tech Implant System marketed today, Conical Seal Design, MicroThread, and Connective Contour, prove good clinical functionality and very well preserved marginal bone levels as reported in prospective studies with 10–12 years of follow-up^{1–7}.

The TiOblast surface has among the longest and most comprehensive prospective follow-up published for moderately roughened dental implant surfaces^{1, 3, 8–13}. The follower and further developed OsseoSpeed surface also presents prospective long-term data. Extremely well preserved marginal bone levels and healthy soft tissues are reported after 5 years of loading in patients treated with OsseoSpeed implants^{14–20}.

A meta-analysis including 10 prospective studies on Astra Tech Implant System, presented the mean marginal bone loss to be 0.24 mm and an average survival rate of 98% after 5 years in function²¹. The maintained bone levels, good esthetics and high survival rates are confirmed in long-term prospective clinical trials on a variety of indications; treatment with single implants²,
^{14–17, 20, 22–25}, partially dentate patients treated with fixed partial bridges^{10, 19, 26–29}, totally edentulous patients treated with overdentures^{3, 30–37} and fixed full bridges^{1, 5, 11, 34, 38–44}. In addition, long-term prospective follow-up after immediate placement in extractions sockets^{15, 16}, placement in grafted bone^{17, 41} and immediate loading^{15, 16, 18, 20, 43} show predictable and favourable results.

This Scientific Review on Long-term clinical documentation on Astra Tech Implant System only cite articles based on prospective studies where all patients have been followed for 5 years or longer.

References

1. Rasmusson L, Roos J, Bystedt H. A 10-year follow-up study of titanium dioxide-blasted implants. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2005;7(1):36-42.
2. Gotfredsen K. A 10-year prospective study of single tooth implants placed in the anterior maxilla. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2009;14(1):80-7.
3. Vroom MG, Sipos P, de Lange GL, et al. Effect of surface topography of screw-shaped titanium implants in humans on clinical and radiographic parameters: A 12-year prospective study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2009;20(11):1231-39.
4. Van Assche N, Pittayapat P, Jacobs R, et al. Microbiological outcome of two screw-shaped titanium implant systems placed following a split-mouth randomised protocol, at the 12th year of follow-up after loading. *Eur J Oral Implantol* 2011;4(2):103-16.
5. Mertens C, Steveling HG, Stucke K, Pretzel B, Meyer-Baumer A. Fixed implant-retained rehabilitation of the edentulous maxilla: 11-year results of a prospective study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2012;14(6):816-27.
6. Mertens C, Meyer-Baumer A, Kappel H, Hoffmann J, Steveling HG. Use of 8-mm and 9-mm implants in atrophic alveolar ridges: 10-year results. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2012;27(6):1501-8.
7. Cecchinato D, Parpaia A, Lindhe J. Mucosal inflammation and incidence of crestal bone loss among implant patients: A 10-year study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2014;25(7):791-6.
8. Albrektsson T, Wennerberg A. Oral implant surfaces: Part 2-review focusing on clinical knowledge of different surfaces. *Int J Prosthodont* 2004;17(5):544-64.
9. Albrektsson T, Sennerby L, Wennerberg A. State of the art of oral implants. *Periodontol 2000* 2008;47:15-26.
10. Jacobs R, Pittayapat P, van Steenberghe D, et al. A split-mouth comparative study up to 16 years of two screw-shaped titanium implant systems. *J Clin Periodontol* 2010;37(12):119-127.
11. Mertens C, Steveling HG. Implant-supported fixed prostheses in the edentulous maxilla: 8-year prospective results. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2010;22(5):464-72.
12. Renvert S, Lindahl C, Persson RG. The incidence of peri-implantitis for two different implant systems over a period of thirteen years. *J Clin Periodontol* 2012;39(12):1191-7.
13. Raval N, Dahlgren S, Teiwik A, Grondahl K. Long-term evaluation of Astra Tech and Branemark implants in patients treated with full-arch bridges. Results after 12-15 years. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2013;24(10):1144-51.
14. Lops D, Bressan E, Chiapasco M, Rossi A, Romeo E. Zirconia and titanium implant abutments for single-tooth implant prostheses after 5 years of function in posterior regions. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2013;28(1):281-7.
15. Berberi AN, Sabbagh JM, Aboushelib MN, Noujeim ZF, Salameh ZA. A 5-year comparison of marginal bone level following immediate loading of single-tooth implants placed in healed alveolar ridges and extraction sockets in the maxilla. *Front Physiol* 2014;5:29.
16. Cooper LF, Reside GJ, Raes F, et al. Immediate provisionalization of dental implants placed in healed alveolar ridges and extraction sockets: A 5-year prospective evaluation. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2014;29(3):709-17.
17. Cooper LF, Reside G, Raes F, et al. Immediate provisionalization of dental implants in grafted alveolar ridges in the esthetic zone: A 5-year evaluation. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2014;34(4):477-86.
18. Mertens C, Steveling HG. Early and immediate loading of titanium implants with fluoride-modified surfaces: Results of 5-year prospective study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2011;22(12):1354-60.
19. Schliephake H, Rodiger M, Phillips K, et al. Early loading of surface modified implants in the posterior mandible - 5 year results of an open prospective non-controlled study. *J Clin Periodontol* 2012;39 (2):188-95.
20. Donati M, La Scala V, Di Raimondo R, et al. Marginal bone preservation in single-tooth replacement: A 5-year prospective clinical multicenter study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2015;17(3):425-34.
21. Laurell L, Lundgren D. Marginal bone level changes at dental implants after 5 years in function: A meta-analysis. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2011;13(1):19-28.
22. Gotfredsen K. A 5-year prospective study of single-tooth replacements supported by the Astra Tech implant: A pilot study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2004;6(1):1-8.
23. Palmer RM, Palmer PJ, Smith BJ. A 5-year prospective study of astra single tooth implants. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2000;11(2):179-82.
24. Wennström JL, Ekestubbe A, Gröndahl K, Karlsson S, Lindhe J. Implant-supported single-tooth restorations: A 5-year prospective study. *J Clin Periodontol* 2005;32(6):567-74.
25. Chang M, Wennstrom JL. Bone alterations at implant-supported fangs in relation to inter-unit distances: A 5-year radiographic study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2010;21(7):735-40.
26. Cecchinato D, Bengazi F, Blasi G, et al. Bone level alterations at implants placed in the posterior segments of the dentition: Outcome of submerged/non-submerged healing. A 5-year multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2008;19(4):429-31.
27. Gotfredsen K, Karlsson U. A prospective 5-year study of fixed partial prostheses supported by implants with machined and tio2-blasted surface. *J Prosthodont* 2001;10(1):2-7.
28. Wennström JL, Ekestubbe A, Gröndahl K, Karlsson S, Lindhe J. Oral rehabilitation with implant-supported fixed partial dentures in periodontitis-susceptible subjects. A 5-year prospective study. *J Clin Periodontol* 2004;31(9):713-24.
29. Larsson C, Vult von Steyern P. Five-year follow-up of implant-supported y-tzp and zta fixed dental prostheses. A randomized, prospective clinical trial comparing two different material systems. *Int J Prosthodont* 2010;23(6):555-61.
30. Bakke M, Holm B, Gotfredsen K. Masticatory function and patient satisfaction with implant-supported mandibular overdentures: A prospective 5-year study. *Int J Prosthodont* 2002;15(6):575-81.
31. Cooper LF, Moriarty JD, Guckles AD, et al. Five-year prospective evaluation of mandibular overdentures retained by two microthreaded, tioblast nonsplinted implants and retentive ball anchors. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2008;23(4):696-704.
32. Davis DM, Packer ME. Mandibular overdentures stabilized by Astra Tech implants with either ball attachments or magnets: 5-year results. *Int J Prosthodont* 1999;12(3):222-9.
33. Gotfredsen K, Holm B. Implant-supported mandibular overdentures retained with ball or bar attachments: A randomized prospective 5-year study. *Int J Prosthodont* 2000;13(2):125-30.
34. Makkonen TA, Holmberg S, Niemi L, et al. A 5-year prospective clinical study of Astra Tech dental implants supporting fixed bridges or overdentures in the edentulous mandible. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1997;8(6):469-75.
35. Walmley AD, Frame JW. Implant supported overdentures - the birmingham experience. *J Dent* 1997;25 Suppl 1:43-7.
36. von Wowern N, Gotfredsen K. Implant-supported overdentures, a prevention of bone loss in edentulous mandibles? A 5-year follow-up study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2001;12(1):19-25.
37. Akoglu B, Ucankale M, Ozkan Y, Kulak-Ozkan Y. Five-year treatment outcomes with three brands of implants supporting mandibular overdentures. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2011;26(1):188-94.
38. Arvidson K, Bystedt H, Frykholm A, von Konow L, Lothigius E. Five-year prospective follow-up report of the Astra Tech dental implant system in the treatment of edentulous mandibles. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1998;9(4):225-34.
39. Åstrand P, Engquist B, Dahlgren S, et al. Astra Tech and Bränemark system implants: A 5-year prospective study of marginal bone reactions. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2004;15(4):413-20.
40. Murphy WM, Absi EG, Gregory MC, Williams KR. A prospective 5-year study of two cast framework alloys for fixed implant-supported mandibular prostheses. *Int J Prosthodont* 2002;15(2):133-8.
41. Dasmah A, Thor A, Ekestubbe A, Sennerby L, Rasmussen L. Marginal bone-level alterations at implants installed in block versus particulate onlay bone grafts mixed with platelet-rich plasma in atrophic maxilla. A prospective 5-year follow-up study of 15 patients. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2013;15(1):7-14.
42. Raval N, Dahlgren S, Teiwik A, Grondahl K. Long-term evaluation of Astra Tech and Branemark implants in patients treated with full-arch bridges. Results after 12-15 years. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2013;24(10):1144-51.
43. Vervaeke S, Collaert B, Cosyn J, De Bruyn H. A 9-year prospective case series using multivariate analyses to identify predictors of early and late peri-implant bone loss. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2014;E-pub July 6, doi: 10.1111/cid.12255.
44. Larsson C, Vult Von Steyern P. Implant-supported full-arch zirconia-based mandibular fixed dental prostheses. Eight-year results from a clinical pilot study. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2013;71(5):118-22.

To read more Scientific Reviews please see: www.dentsplyimplants.com/science

THE DENTAL
SOLUTIONS
COMPANY™

 **Dentsply**
Sirona