

Marginal bone maintenance with Astra Tech Implant System[®]

The design features of a dental implant system are crucial for the long-term marginal bone maintenance. The Astra Tech Implant System is designed to maintain marginal bone support, a clinical reality that has been reported in a meta-analysis¹. The standard norm for accepted marginal bone remodelling around implants allows for 1 mm bone loss during the first year of loading followed by an annual bone loss of less than 0.2 mm²⁻⁴. A meta-analysis concluded that the Astra Tech Implant System shows even better results than this standard norm for marginal bone remodeling¹.

A literature search for all published prospective studies reporting on radiographically measured (interproximal) mean marginal bone level changes around the Astra Tech Implant System resulted in 62 publications⁵⁻⁶⁶. The articles had to report on ≥ 10 patients that were followed for ≥ 1 year with a complete patient cohort followed for the indicated period. Only articles reporting on studies using a standard implant treatment protocol utilizing implants with a moderately rough surface with MicroThread at the implant neck were included (extraction sockets and advanced grafting procedures were excluded). Data from these articles are presented in the table below.

In summary, small mean marginal bone level changes are reported after both short- and long-term follow-up periods. With focus on published data presenting changes from implant placement and up to 5 years of follow-up, an average reduction of 0.3 mm is reported.

It can be concluded that the scientific literature presenting bone level data around the Astra Tech Implant System, is extensive and shows remarkably well maintained marginal bone levels in the short and long-term perspective.

First author	Mean MBL change* (mm)	Radiographic baseline (months from IP†)	Follow-up period (years)	No. of patients	Restaurations§	Implant survival (%)
One year follow-up						
Balleri et al. 2010 ⁶	-0.36 [#]	6	1	20	F	100
Bashutski 2013 ⁸	-0.50 [#]	0	1	24	S	92
Cooper et al. 2001 ^{15 h}	-0.40	1	1	52	S	96.2
Cooper et al. 2010 ^{16 c}	-0.40	0	1	60	S	98.3
D'Haese et al. 2013 ¹⁹	-0.47	0	1	26	F	88.6
Donati et al. 2008 ^{20 d}	-0.31 [#]	0	1	151	S	97.5
Galindo-Moreno et al. 2012 ^{22 f}	-0.07	0	1	69	S	95.9
Ghoveizi et al. 2013 ²⁴	-0.24 [#]	no info	1	10	S	no info
Guljé et al. 2011 ²⁸	-0.10	0	1	12	OD	96
Guljé et al. 2013 ²⁷	0.04 [#]	< 2	1	95	F	98.1
Guljé et al. 2014 ²⁹	-0.14	4	1	21	S	100
Kemppainen et al. 1997 ³³	-0.13	7	1	37	S	97.8
Kim et al. 2010 ³⁴	-0.06 [#]	0	1	12	F	100
Koutouzis et al. 2011 ³⁵	-0.19	0	1	18	S	95
Lee et al. 2014 ³⁸	-0.09 [#]	4 to 7	1	76	S	100
Liaje et al. 2012 ³⁹	-0.21	2	1	> 10	S, F	100
Marcelis et al. 2012 ⁴²	-0.13	0	1	29	S	no info
Noelken et al. 2014 ⁴⁷	-0.54	0	1	65	S	no info
Nordin et al. 1998 ⁴⁸	-0.05	3 to 5	1	10	F	100
Raes et al. 2013 ^{53 c}	0.05 [#]	0	1	32	S	100
Rismanchian et al. 2011 ⁵⁵	-0.48	0	1	10	F	100
Slot et al. 2013 ⁵⁸	-0.24	3	1	25	OD	100
Slot et al. 2014 ⁵⁷	-0.22	3	1	25	OD	98
Stanford et al. 2014 ⁵⁹	-0.10	0	1	120	S, F	97
Toljanic et al. 2009 ^{61 g}	-0.50	0	1	51	F	96
Van de Velde et al. 2010 ⁶²	-0.70	0	1	10	F	100
Two and 3 years follow-up						
Barewal et al. 2012 ⁷	-0.22	0	3	40	S	97.5
Cooper et al. 2007 ^{13 h}	-0.42	1	3	48	S	94.4
De Bruyn et al. 2013 ^{18 c}	-0.40	0	3	58	S	98.3
Geckili et al. 2011 ²³	-0.88	0	3	52	OD	100
Kahnberg et al. 2012 ³¹	-0.35	< 1	3	10	F	100
Kutan-Misirlioglu et al. 2014 ³⁶	-0.89 [#]	3	3	28	S	100
Lee et al. 2007 ³⁷	-0.24	4 to 7	3	17	F	100
Maiorana et al. 2013 ^{41 f}	-0.09	0	3	69	S	95.9
Palmer et al. 2005 ⁴⁹	-0.13	4 to 7	3	19	F	100
Thor et al. 2014 ^{60 g}	-0.60	0	3	51	F	97.7
Yi et al. 2001 ⁶⁶	-0.21	4 to 9	3	43	F	100
Bilhan et al. 2010 ¹⁰	-0.66	6	2	> 10	F	100
Collaert et al. 2011 ¹²	-0.11	0	2	25	F	100
Göcken-Röhlig et al. 2010 ³⁰	-1.17	4	2	10	OD	100
Karlsson et al. 1997 ³²	-0.31	5 to 9	2	47	S	100
Mumcu et al. 2012 ⁴⁶	-0.90 [#]	2	2	48	OD	no info
Palmer et al. 1997 ^{51 e}	-0.01 [#]	6	2	15	S	100
Pieri et al. 2012 ⁵²	-0.60	6	2	25	F	96.8
Vervaeke et al. 2013 ⁶⁵	-0.49 [#]	0	2	50	F	100
Vervaeke et al. 2014 ⁶⁴	-0.90	no info	2	79	OD	no info

First author	Mean MBL change* (mm)	Radiographic baseline (months from IP†)	Follow-up period (years)	No. of patients	Restorations§	Implant survival (%)
Five years follow-up						
Akoglu et al. 2011 ⁵	-0.34	2	5	12	OD	100
Berberi 2014 ⁹	-0.19	0	5	> 10	S	100
Cooper et al. 2008 ¹⁴	0.09	3	5	59	OD	95.9
Cooper et al. 2014 ^{17 c}	0.09	0	5	58	S	98.3
Donati et al. 2013 ^{21 d}	-0.28 [#]	0	5	151	S	95.6
Gotfredsen 2004 ^{26 a}	-0.26	6	5	10	S	100
Lops et al. 2013 ⁴⁰	-0.30 [#]	5	5	85	S	100
Palmer et al. 2000 ^{50 e}	0.12 [#]	6	5	15	S	100
Schliephake et al. 2012 ⁵⁶	-0.08	0	5	44	F	100
Wennström et al. 2005 ⁶³	-0.11	4 to 7	5	40	S	97.4
More than 5 years follow-up						
Renvert et al. 2012 ⁵⁴	-0.80	12	13	17	no info	no info
Mertens et al. 2012 ⁴⁴	-0.56	6	11	17	F	96.8
Cecchinato 2013 ¹¹	-0.36	> 12	10	133	S, F, OD	96
Gotfredsen et al. 2009 ^{25 a}	-0.86	6	10	10	S	100
Mertens et al. 2012 ^{43 b}	-0.30	3	10	14	F	100
Mertens et al. 2010 ^{45 b}	-0.30	6	8	17	F	99

Table text

* mean marginal bone level change reported: measured from radiographic baseline to the end of the follow-up period

† IP = implant placement (0 months is defined as < 48 hours since surgery)

the bone level change is presented for different subgroups and a new mean has been calculated, or, bone levels are presented over time and a change has been calculated

§ S= single tooth; F=fixed restoration; OD= overdenture

a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h – articles report from the same patient material

References

- Laurell L, Lundgren D. Marginal bone level changes at dental implants after 5 years in function: A meta-analysis. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2011;13(1):19-28.
- Albrektsson T, Zarb G, Worthington P, Eriksson AR. The long-term efficacy of currently used dental implants: A review and proposed criteria of success. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1986;1(1):11-25.
- Albrektsson T, Zarb GA. Current interpretations of the osseointegrated response: Clinical significance. *Int J Prosthodont* 1993;6(2):95-105.
- Roos J, Sennerby L, Lekholm U, et al. A qualitative and quantitative method for evaluating implant success: A 5-year retrospective analysis of the Branemark implant. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1997;12(4):504-14.
- Akdoglu B, Ucakale M, Ozkan Y, Kulak-Ozkan Y. Five-year treatment outcomes with three brands of implants supporting mandibular overdentures. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2011;26(1):188-94.
- Balleri P, Ferrari M, Veltri M. One-year outcome of implants strategically placed in the retrocanine bone triangle. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2010;12(4):324-30.
- Barewal RM, Stanford C, Weesner TC. A randomized controlled clinical trial comparing the effects of three loading protocols on dental implant stability. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2012;27(4):945-56.
- Bashutski JD, Wang HL, Rudek I, et al. Effect of flapless surgery on single-tooth implants in the esthetic zone: A randomized clinical trial. *J Periodontol* 2013;84(12):1747-54.
- Berberi AN, Sabbagh JM, Aboushelib MN, Noujeim ZF, Salameh ZA. A 5-year comparison of marginal bone level following immediate loading of single-tooth implants placed in healed alveolar ridges and extraction sockets in the maxilla. *Front Physiol* 2014;5:29.
- Bilhan H, Kutay O, Arat S, Cekici A, Cehreli MC. ASTRA TECH, Branemark, and ITI implants in the rehabilitation of partial edentulism: Two-year results. *Implant Dent* 2010;19(5):437-46.
- Cecchinato D, Parpaola A, Lindhe J. Mucosal inflammation and incidence of crestal bone loss among implant patients: A 10-year study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2014;25(7):791-6.
- Collaert B, Wijnen L, De Bruyn H. A 2-year prospective study on immediate loading of single-tooth implants in the edentulous mandible. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2011;22(10):1111-6.
- Cooper LF, Ellner S, Moriarty J, et al. Three-year evaluation of single-tooth implants restored 3 weeks after 1-stage surgery. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2007;22(5):791-800.
- Cooper LF, Moriarty JD, Guckes AD, et al. Five-year prospective evaluation of mandibular overdentures retained by two microthreaded, tioblast nongrafted implants and retentive ball anchors. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2008;23(4):696-704.
- Cooper L, Felton DA, Kugelberg CF, et al. A multicenter 12-month evaluation of single-tooth implants restored 3 weeks after 1-stage surgery. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2001;16(2):182-92.
- Cooper LF, Raes F, Reside GJ, et al. Comparison of radiographic and clinical outcomes following immediate provisionalization of single-tooth dental implants placed in healed alveolar ridges and extraction sockets. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2010;25(6):1222-32.
- Cooper LF, Reside GJ, Raes F, et al. Immediate provisionalization of dental implants placed in healed alveolar ridges and extraction sockets: A 5-year prospective evaluation. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2014;29(3):709-17.
- De Bruyn H, Raes F, Cooper LF, et al. Three-years clinical outcome of immediate provisionalization of single Osseospeed implants in extraction sockets and healed ridges. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2013;24(2):217-23.
- D'haese J, Vervaeke S, Verbanck N, De Bruyn H. Clinical and radiographic outcome of implants placed using stereolithographic guided surgery: A prospective monocenter study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2013;28(1):205-15.
- Donati M, La Scala V, Billi M, et al. Immediate functional loading of implants in single tooth replacement: A prospective clinical multicenter study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2008;19(8):740-48.
- Donati M, La Scala V, Di Raimondo R, et al. Marginal bone preservation in single-tooth replacement: A 5-year prospective clinical multicenter study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2013;E-pub July 25, doi:10.1111/cid.12117.
- Galindo-Moreno P, Nilsson P, King P, et al. Clinical and radiographic evaluation of early loaded narrow diameter implants - 1-year follow-up. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2012;23(5):609-16.
- Geckili O, Bilhan H, Mumcu E, Bilgin T. Three-year radiologic follow-up of marginal bone loss around titanium dioxide grit-blasted dental implants with and without fluoride treatment. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2011;26(2):319-24.
- Ghoveizi R, Alikhasi M, Siadat M-R, Siadat H, Sorouri M. A radiographic comparison of progressive and conventional loading on crestal bone loss and dentistry in single dental implants: A randomized controlled trial study. *J Dentistry, Teheran Univ Med Sci* 2013;10(2):155-63.
- Gotfredsen K. A 10-year prospective study of single tooth implants placed in the anterior maxilla. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2009;14(1):80-7.
- Gotfredsen K. A 5-year prospective study of single-tooth replacements supported by the Astra Tech implant: A pilot study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2004;6(1):1-8.
- Gulje F, Abrahamson I, Chen S, et al. Implants of 6 mm vs. 11 mm lengths in the posterior maxilla and mandible: A 1-year multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2013;24(12):1325-31.
- Gulje F, Raghoobar GM, Ter Meulen JW, Vissink A, Meijer HJ. Mandibular overdentures supported by 6-mm dental implants: A 1-year prospective cohort study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2011;14(Supplement 1):e59-e66.
- Gulje FL, Raghoobar GM, Vissink A, Meijer HJ. Single restorations in the resorbed posterior mandible supported by 6-mm implants: A 1-year prospective case series study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2014;E-pub Sep 5, doi:10.1111/cid.12272.
- Gökçen-Röhlig B, Meric U, Keskin H. Clinical and radiographic outcomes of implants immediately placed in fresh extraction sockets. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2010;109(4):1-7.
- Kahnberg KE, Vannas-Löfqvist L, Hakenberg M. Early loading of Astra Tech implants in the lower jaw. I. A comparison between immediate placement of implants in fresh extraction sockets and conventional implant surgery. *Oral Surgery* 2012;5:51-58.
- Karlsson U, Gotfredsen K, Olsson C. Single-tooth replacement by osseointegrated Astra Tech dental implants: A 2-year report. *Int J Prosthodont* 1997;10(4):318-24.
- Kempainen P, Eskola S, Ylipaavaliemi P. A comparative prospective clinical study of two single-tooth implants: A preliminary report of 102 implants. *J Prosthet Dent* 1997;77(4):382-7.
- Kim JJ, Lee DW, Kim CK, Park KH, Moon IS. Effect of conical configuration of fixture on the maintenance of marginal bone level: Preliminary results at 1 year of function. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2010;21(4):439-44.
- Koutouzis T, Koutouzis G, Tomasi C, Lundgren T. Immediate loading of implants placed with the osteotome technique: One-year prospective case series. *J Periodontol* 2011;82(11):1556-62.
- Kutan-Misirlioglu E, Bolukbasi N, Yildirim-Ondur E, Ozdemir T. Clinical and radiographic evaluation of marginal bone changes around platform-switching implants placed in crestal or subcrestal positions: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2014;E-pub July 22, doi:10.1111/cid.12248.
- Lee DW, Choi YS, Park KH, Kim CS, Moon IS. Effect of microthread on the maintenance of marginal bone level: A 3-year prospective study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2007;18(4):465-70.
- Lee DW, Park KH, Moon IS. The effects of off-axial loading on periimplant marginal bone loss in a single implant. *J Prosthet Dent* 2014;112(3):501-7.
- Liaje A, Ozkan YK, Ozkan Y, Vanlioglu B. Stability and marginal bone loss with three types of early loaded implants during the first year after loading. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2012;27(1):162-72.
- Lops D, Bressan E, Chiapasco M, Rossi A, Romeo E. Zirconia and titanium implant abutments for single-tooth implant prostheses after 5 years of function in posterior regions. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2013;28(1):281-7.
- Maiorana C, King P, Quaes S, et al. Clinical and radiographic evaluation of early loaded narrow-diameter implants: 3 years follow-up. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2013;E-pub Oct 30, doi:10.1111/cir.12281.
- Marcelis K, Vercrussen M, Naert I, Teughels W, Quirynen M. Model-based guided implant insertion for solitary tooth replacement: A pilot study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2012;23(8):999-1003.
- Mertens C, Meyer-Baumer A, Kappel H, Hoffmann J, Steveling HG. Use of 8-mm and 9-mm implants in atrophic alveolar ridges: 10-year results. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2012;27(6):1501-8.
- Mertens C, Steveling HG, Stucke K, Pretzl B, Meyer-Baumer A. Fixed implant-retained rehabilitation of the edentulous maxilla: 11-year results of a prospective study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2012;14(6):816-27.
- Mertens C, Steveling HG. Implant-supported fixed prostheses in the edentulous maxilla: 8-year prospective results. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2010;22(5):464-72.
- Mumcu E, Bilhan H, Geckili O. The influence of healing type on marginal bone levels of implants supporting mandibular overdentures: A randomized clinical study. *Indian J Dent Res* 2012;23(4):514-8.
- Noelken R, Donati M, Fiorellini J, et al. Soft and hard tissue alterations around implants placed in an alveolar ridge with a sloped configuration. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2014;25(1):3-9.
- Nordin T, Jonsson G, Nelvig P, Rasmusson L. The use of a conical fixture design for fixed partial prostheses. A preliminary report. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1998;9(5):343-7.
- Palmer RM, Howe LC, Palmer PJ. A prospective 3-year study of fixed bridges linking Astra Tech implants to natural teeth. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2005;16(3):302-7.
- Palmer RM, Palmer PJ, Smith BJ. A 5-year prospective study of Astra single tooth implants. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2000;11(2):179-82.
- Palmer RM, Smith BJ, Palmer PJ, Floyd PD. A prospective study of Astra single tooth implants. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1997;8(3):173-9.
- Pieri F, Aldini NN, Fini M, Marchetti C, Corinaldesi G. Preliminary 2-year report on treatment outcomes for 6-mm-long implants in posterior atrophic mandibles. *Int J Prosthodont* 2012;25(3):279-89.
- Raes F, Cosyn J, De Bruyn H. Clinical, aesthetic, and patient-related outcome of immediately loaded single implants in the anterior maxilla: A prospective study in extraction sockets, healed ridges, and grafted sites. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2013;15(6):819-35.
- Renvert S, Lindahl C, Persson RG. The incidence of peri-implantitis for two different implant systems over a period of thirteen years. *J Clin Periodontol* 2012;39(12):1191-7.
- Rismanchian M, Fazel A, Rakhshan V, Eblaghian G. One-year clinical and radiographic assessment of fluoride-enhanced implants on immediate non-functional loading in posterior maxilla and mandible: A pilot prospective clinical series study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2011;22(12):1440-5.
- Schliephake H, Rodiger M, Phillips K, et al. Early loading of surface modified implants in the posterior mandible - 5 year results of an open prospective non-controlled study. *J Clin Periodontol* 2012;39(2):188-95.
- Slot W, Raghoobar GM, Vissink A, Meijer HJ. Maxillary overdentures supported by anteriorly or posteriorly placed implants opposed by a natural dentition in the mandible: A 1-year prospective case series study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2014;16(1):51-61.
- Slot W, Raghoobar GM, Vissink A, Meijer HJ. Maxillary overdentures supported by four or six implants in the anterior region; 1-year results from a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Periodontol* 2013;40(3):303-10.
- Stanford C, Raes S, Cecchinato D, Brandt J, Bittner B. Prospective, randomized, controlled, multicenter study comparing two versions of an implant system (p174). *Academy of Osseointegration, Seattle, March 6-8, 2014.*
- Thor A, Ekstrand K, Baer RA, Toljanic JA. Three-year follow-up of immediately loaded implants in the edentulous atrophic maxilla: A study in patients with poor bone quantity and quality. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2014;29(3):642-9.
- Toljanic JA, Baer RA, Ekstrand K, Thor A. Implant rehabilitation of the atrophic edentulous maxilla including immediate fixed provisional restoration without the use of bone grafting: A review of 1-year outcome data from a long-term prospective clinical trial. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2009;24(3):518-26.
- Van de Velde T, Collaert B, Sennerby L, De Bruyn H. Effect of implant design on preservation of marginal bone in the mandible. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2010;12(2):134-41.
- Wennström JL, Ekestubbe A, Gröndahl K, Karlsson S, Lindhe J. Implant-supported single-tooth restorations: A 5-year prospective study. *J Clin Periodontol* 2005;32(6):567-74.
- Vervaeke S, Diersens M, Besseler J, De Bruyn H. The influence of initial soft tissue thickness on peri-implant bone remodeling. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2014;16(2):238-47.
- Vervaeke S, Collaert B, De Bruyn H. The effect of implant surface modifications on survival and bone loss of immediately loaded implants in the edentulous mandible. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2013;28(5):1352-7.
- Yi SW, Ericsson I, Kim CK, Carlsson GE, Nilner K. Implant-supported fixed prostheses for the rehabilitation of periodontally compromised dentitions: A 3-year prospective clinical study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2001;3(3):125-34.

To read more Scientific Reviews please see: www.dentsplyimplants.com/science